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Nationalism Studies is a large and evolving field of study. For this reason, H-Nationalism produces a wide range of content tracking scholarly developments, trends, and innovations. Do you have something to say about The State of the Field? If so, we would love to have you contribute to our ongoing discussion. If interested, please contact Dr. Cristian Cercel, one of our editors, at accercel@gmail.com. Here are a few ideas about ways to contribute:

*Article Watch:* Have you read a recently published article that you believe has substantial implications for the field of nationalism studies? Is there an older article that you believe has been oddly neglected? A post about the article and its potential value to the field can be an excellent way to bring attention to it and cultivate discussion. We’d suggest aiming for 250 to 500 words. Please contact us first if you have something in mind.

*Methodological Innovation:* Do you engage with a methodology whose full potential is unappreciated or untapped by the field? A post about the methodology -- including how it works, whether and how it has been applied, and how it can change things in the future -- can be an excellent way to spur further research. Perhaps you also have concerns about the shortcomings and limitations of specific methods. We’d suggest aiming for 500 to 2,000 words. Please contact us first if you have something in mind.

*Progress and Challenges:* Do you believe that there are certain topics where the field of nationalism studies is making great progress? Do you believe that there are some subjects that should now be laid to rest? Are there some topics that nationalism scholars have failed to adequately engage? A post on any of these can be a great way to shape future research. We’d suggest aiming for 500 to 2,000 words. Please contact us first if you have something in mind.